

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/957,449	09/21/2001	Makoto Inoue	206-021-01	2508
21254	7590 12/01/2006		EXAMINER	
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			CUFF, MICHAEL A	
SUITE 200	OKTTOOSE KOAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	A 22182-3817		3627	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/957,449	INOUE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael Cuff	3627		

	Michael Cuff	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2006 FAILS TO PLAC	E THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but price this application, applicant must timely file one of places the application in condition for allowance a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in time periods:	or to or on the same day as filing a the following replies: (1) an amen ; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe	Notice of Appeal. To avoid about the affidavit, or other evide al fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	te of this Advisory Action, or (2) the da bly expire later than SIX MONTHS from box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	the mailing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the punder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration diset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF NOTICE OF APPEAL	eriod of extension and the correspondi ate of the shortened statutory period fo Office later than three months after the	ng amount of the fee. The approprior reply originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final r (a) They raise new issues that would require t (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see N (c) They are not deemed to place the applicate appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without car	further consideration and/or search OTE below); tion in better form for appeal by ma	n (see NOTE below); aterially reducing or simplifying	•
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 5 Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	CFR 1.121. See attached Notice	of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo non-allowable claim(s).	<i>t</i>	_	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be reject The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ted is provided below or appended		explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final a because applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e	good and sufficient reasons why t		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is	failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections ur necessary and was not earlier pres	nder appeal and/or appellant fa sented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An e REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	xplanation of the status of the clair	ns after entry is below or attac	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been cons See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure State 13. ☐ Other:	ment(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	·

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that Huang does not show a plurality of masters. Applicant continues into specific "masters". Looking at applicant's figures 11-14 as a guide for what a "master" is, a "master" is merely a group of fields within a database. The prior art merely has to show that some related fields are contained and can change within the database. The Huang reference clearly shows this. Applicant asserts that Huang does not show a server. The Huang reference discloses a decision support system, the central part of which is considered to be a server.

MICHAEL CUFF / PRIMARY EXAMINER